How many people here have read Charles Darwin's Origin of Species? Descent of Man?

Virtually no one reads either of these. If they did, they would be shocked. Most especially, they would discover that Darwin told the world how to determine if his theories were true descriptions of the natural or if they were not.

In chapter 6 of Origin of Species, Darwin writes: "If it could be demonstrated that any complex organ existed, which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications, my theory would absolutely break down." That has not occurred. Just the opposite. But people, especially those in academia and the sciences, do not often criticize Darwin's theories openly.

In the WSJ August 16, 1999, Phillip E. Johnson penned an article in which he states: "A Chinese paleontologist lectures around the world saying that recent fossil finds in his country are inconsistent with the Darwinian theory of evolution. His reason: the major animal groups appear abruptly in the rocks over a relatively short time, rather than evolving gradually from a common ancestor as Darwin's theory predicts. When this conclusion upsets American scientists, he wryly comments: 'in China, we can criticize Darwin but not the government. In America, you can criticize the government but not Darwin.'"

I was a committed evolutionist. I am now, however, convinced that there was an Adam and there was an Eve and that God created them both. In modern America, that likely brands me as a fool or an ignoramus. But there is a growing tide of individuals who are coming to the conclusion that the imperial teaching of Darwinism has no clothes. The facts just do not support it. It is in itself a religion, based on the premise that the fossil record would provide a gradual transition from one species to another.

That has not happened. Just the opposite, the fossil record shows the sudden appearance of entire new lifeforms after long periods of stasis, with a particularly large number of new organisms in the Cambrian explosion. It is an indisputable truth that the fossil record does not match the gradualism needed to support Darwin's theory of Natural Selection. The scientific method of experimentation and reproducibility does not support it either. We will speak more about that in a future message.

This lack of gradual transitions between species in the fossil record is such a great problem, that in 1972, paleontologists Niles Eldredge and Stephen Jay Gould published a landmark paper to address this lack of intermediate missing links, with a theory called *punctuated equilibria*. Eldredge and Gould had concluded that the degree of gradualism required by Darwinism in the fossil record is virtually nonexistent in the fossil record, and that stasis (or lack of change) dominates the history of most fossil species. They simply described what they saw. Nothing happens for millions of years. Then there is an evolutionary explosion, then nothing happens

for millions of years. Everything is in equilibrium which is suddenly shattered by a massive and sudden appearance of totally new organisms. Darwinian evolution today is generally recognized as naïve at best, but you are only let in on this secret at graduate and post graduate level. But we will still teach our youth Darwinian evolution.

There is ample reason to question Darwinian evolution. In future messages we will discuss other, even more massive problems with the theory.

Truth and its impact

In the west, truth is something that is a fact. In the east, there is the additional question, does it work? In other words, what happens to someone who holds these viewpoints?

With regards to holding to the Bible as a source of truth, there is plenty of data. No one can dispute the great good done over the generations by believers who were convinced that God created us. Yes, there have been those who in the name of Christ did evil things, but those were antithetical to Christ's basic teachings. A committed Christian who seeks to follow Jesus will love his neighbor, turn the other cheek, and bless those who curse him. A Christian scientist will look for God's truth in nature. Romans 1:20. Reverse engineering

Those who believed in Jesus fled England and founded the colonies that led ultimately to the establishment of this incredible United States of America by yet another group of committed believers. A nation "dedicated to the proposition that all are endowed by their creator with certain unalienable rights, amongst which are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness".

But what happens when people believe that there is no need for a creator? When the Bible is removed from the culture. From where then do such unalienable rights originate? The answer is simple. In a land where there are no absolutes, there cannot be any absolute rights. "Where there is no vision, the people perish." Prov 28:18 KJV. "Vision" is more accurately translated "revelation". The NIV translation of this verse makes it much clearer: "where there is no revelation, the people cast off restraint (and perish)." What happens when Darwinism is embraced and the Creator's revelation in the Bible rejected? To find out, let's look at the effects on those countries that have embraced Darwin's theories. Has it worked in the countries and among the leaders who were most influenced by it?

First, let me discuss a little bit about Darwin's theories:

Darwin's Great Works

- The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life (1859)
 - Vacuum of Spontaneous Generation
 - Transmutation of Species

- Absolutely Nothing mentioned by Darwin on origin of Life
- The Descent of Man
 - Evolution continues in the human race
 - The Caucasians are the highest form of human
 - Darwin implies a race war would be good
- The Descent of Man, Chapter Six: On the Affinities and Genealogy of Man, On the Birthplace and Antiquity of Man
- -Darwin dreams of a future for mankind when the black races of man, as well as the mountain gorilla of Africa, will hopefully become extinct, thus enhancing the chances for the evolutionary advancement of the more "civilized" races of man
- -He also states that both Africans and Aborigines occupy a sub-species between white Caucasians and Baboons. He identifies that Africans, Aborigines and the Irish are lower species.
- -Darwin did not observe that they were "endangered species", he encouraged extinction
- "At some future period, not very distant as measured by centuries, the civilized races of man will almost certainly exterminate, and replace, the savage races throughout the world. At the same time the anthropomorphous apes...will no doubt be exterminated. The break between man and his nearest allies will then be wider, for it will intervene between man in a more civilized state, as we may hope, even than the Caucasian, and some ape as low as a baboon, instead of as now between the Negro or Australian and the gorilla."

Impact of Darwin

- Not only does evolution free people from the constraints of conscience and morals, it encourages a form of aggressive barbarism.
- People claim religion has killed many. Darwinism has destroyed many, many more.
- Herbert Spencer Social Darwinism
- Frank Galton and Thomas Huxley's concepts of eugenics based on Darwin's theory
- Nietzche's idea of the superman stem from Darwin's theory.

Adolph Hitler was an acolyte of Nietzche and Darwin

-"Man must realize that a fundamental law of necessity reigns throughout the whole realm of Nature and that his existence is subject to the law of eternal struggle and strife . . . where the strong are always the masters of the weak and where those subject to such laws must obey them or be destroyed, one general law leading to the advancement of all organic beings . . . let the strongest live and the weakest die. " (Mein Kempf, Chapter 10)

-"So the strength which each people possesses decides the day. Always before god and the world the stronger has the right to carry through what he wills. History proves: He who has not the strength - him the 'right in itself' profits not a whit. The whole world of nature is a mighty struggle between strength and weakness - an eternal victory of the strong over the weak. There would be nothing but decay in the whole of Nature if this were not so. (Adolf Hitler, 4/13/23 in Munich)

Darwin had this to say about Christianity: "from its manifestly false history of the earth...and from its attributing to God the feelings of a revengeful tyrant, [it is] no more to be trusted than the sacred books of the Hindoos, or the beliefs of any barbarian."

"[I can not see how] anyone ought to wish Christianity to be true; for if so, the plain language of the text seems to show that the men who do not believe, and this would include my Father, Brother and almost all my best friends, will be everlastingly punished. **And this is a damnable doctrine**."

(*The Autobiography of Charles Darwin*, Edited by Nora Barlow, W.W. Norton and Co., New York,

London, 1958.):

Hitler summarizes Darwin's thinking: listen to this from *Hitler's Secret Conversations*, October 10, 1941: "**Christianity is a rebellion against natural law**, a protest against nature. Taken to its logical conclusion, Christianity would mean the systematic cultivation of the human failure." Hitler was an avowed Darwinist. Hitler exterminated over 6 million Jews and perhaps that many gentiles.

What about Karl Marx?

- 1860: Karl Marx The writer of the Communist Manifesto, was a committed Darwinist.
- (Communist Manifesto Dedication)"Darwin's book is very important and serves me as a basis in natural science for the class struggle." thus revealing the importance of the theory of evolution for Communism. He repeated this in a letter to a friend named Lasalle ion January 16, 1861.
- Marx combined his social and economic ideas with evolutionary principles. Marx wrote that Darwin's book 'contains the basis in natural history for our views.'
- His disciple Lenin applied utter ruthlessness and terror in Russia—the term 'rivers of blood' has commonly been applied in describing his reign.
- Darwinism was of such great importance to Communism that only months after Darwin's book was published, Engels wrote to Marx, "Darwin, whom I am just now reading, is splendid."
 Marx wrote back to Engels on December 19, 1860, saying, "This is the book which contains the basis in natural history for our view."
- Marx revealed his sympathy for Darwin by sending a personally inscribed copy of his most important work, Das Kapital, to him. In the inscription, Marx, described himself as a "sincere admirer" of the Darwin.
- 1918: Leon Trotsky A man Fanatically committed to Darwinism and Marxism, communist leader. Trotsky was brutal against the Christian church. He said that Darwin's ideas 'intoxicated' him, and 'Darwin stood for me like a mighty doorkeeper at the entrance to the temple of the universe.' With no Creator's laws to restrain him and the justification of evolution, he felt free to use any means to attain power and political ends.
- Fredrich Engels also admired Darwin: "Nature is the test of dialectics, and it must be said... that in the last resort nature works dialectically and not metaphysically... In this connection, Darwin must be named before all others."

- "Just as Darwin discovered the law of evolution in organic nature, so Marx discovered the law of evolution in human history" he said.83
- In another of his works, Engels stressed the importance of Darwin's having developed a theory opposed to religion:
- "He (Darwin) dealt the metaphysical conception of nature the heaviest blow by his proof that the organic world of today plants, animals, and consequently man too is the product of a process of evolution going on through millions of years."
- Engels went so far as to write an article titled "The Part Played by Labour in the Transition from Ape to Man."
- The American researcher Conway Zirckle explains why the founders of Communism immediately accepted Darwin's theory" Marx and Engels accepted evolution almost immediately after Darwin published The Origin of Species. Evolution, of course, was just what the founders of communism needed to explain how mankind could have come into being without the intervention of any supernatural force, and consequently it could be used to bolster the foundations of their materialistic philosophy. In addition, Darwin's interpretation of evolution—that evolution had come about through the operation of natural selection—gave them an alternative hypothesis to the prevailing teleological explanation of the observed fact that all forms of life are adapted to their conditions.85
- It was Lenin who made Marx's project of Communist revolution come true. Lenin, the leader of the Communist Bolshevik movement in Russia, aimed to bring down the Tsarist regime in Russia by force of arms. The chaos after World War I gave the Bolsheviks the opportunity they had been seeking. With Lenin at their head, the Communists seized power by the use of arms in October 1917. After the revolution Russia was the scene of a bloody three-year civil war between Communists and supporters of the tsar.
- Like the other Communist leaders, Lenin often stressed that Darwin's theory was the fundamental basis of dialectical materialist philosophy.
- One of his statements reveals his view of Darwinism:
- "Darwin put an end to the belief that the animal and vegetable species bear no relation to one another, except by chance, and that they were created by God, and hence immutable."
- Trotsky, counted the most important architect of the Bolshevik revolution after Lenin, again attached great importance to Darwinism. He declared his admiration for Darwin in the following way, "Darwin's discovery is the highest triumph of the dialectic in the whole field of organic matter."90
- Following Lenin's death in 1924, Stalin, the bloodiest dictator in the history of the world, passed to the leadership of the Communist Party. Throughout his 30 years in power, Stalin would try to prove just what a ruthless system Communism was.

1930: Joseph Stalin - The world's worst mass-murderer had originally studied to be priest at Tiflis (Tbilisi) Georgia, theological college. "God's not unjust, he doesn't actually exist. We've been deceived. If God existed, he'd have made the world more just... I'll lend you a book and you'll see." He told his friend G Gludjidze. Spoken by a teenaged Stalin after reading The Origin of Species by Charles Darwin (as quoted in Young Stalin (2007) by Simon Sebag Montefiore, p. 49).

A friend later confirmed that Stalin became an atheist after reading Darwin while studying theology. While Stalin was still alive a close childhood friend of his, G. Glurdjidze, recounted how Stalin had become an atheist in the book Landmarks in the Life of Stalin. Glurdjidze relates how Stalin had stopped believing in God and had told him that the reason for this was Darwin's book, pressuring him into reading it too. "At a very early age, while still a pupil in the ecclesiastical school, Comrade Stalin developed a critical mind and revolutionary sentiments. He began to read Darwin and became an atheist."

- Stalin was expelled from the theology college at age 19. Understanding that evolution provided no basis for conscience or morals, he was released to torture and murder to whatever extent he chose to achieve his communist goals. The end of Communism justified whatever means without Biblical constraints
- Stalin's first important move was to take over the fields of the peasants who made up 80 percent of the population of Russia in the name of the state. In the name of this policy of collectivization which was intended to do away with private property, all the Russian villagers' crops were collected by armed officials. As a result these was a terrible famine, millions of women, children, and the elderly who could find nothing to eat ended their lives writhing in hunger. The death toll in the Caucasus alone was 1 million.
- Stalin killed some 20 million people. Historians have revealed that this savagery gave
 him enormous personal pleasure. It gave him great pleasure to sit at his desk in the
 Kremlin and examine the lists of those who had died in the concentration camps or who
 had been executed.

Stalin explained the importance he attached to Darwin's ideas: "There are three things that we do to disabuse the minds of our seminary students. We had to teach them the age of the earth, the geologic origin, and Darwin's teachings."

Stalin, Mao Tse Tung, Pol Pot, Castro, have all derived their philosophy from Marx. How many tens of millions have they killed?

Corrupted Business Ethics

- John D. Rockefeller could rationalize his predatory business practices by claiming, "The growth of a large business is merely the survival of the fittest."
- Andrew Carnegie was converted to Darwinism: "Light came as a flood and all as clear.
 Not only had I got rid of theology and the supernatural, but I found the truth of
 evolution."

Abortion

Margaret Sanger: Eugenics/Dysgenics

- "The most merciful thing that a large family does to one of its infant members is to kill it." Margaret Sanger, Women and the New Race (Eugenics Publ. Co., 1920)
- "We do not want word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population," she said, "if it ever occurs to any of their more rebellious members."
- "The marriage bed is the most degenerating influence in the social order,"